

Symposium for Trusted, Long-Term Decision-Making

Information requests and follow-up actions from Melbourne session

	Request or action as recorded	We've understood this to mean	Agreed or proposed response	Status
1.	Other examples of good practice - John Cain Foundation report and John Faulkner's analysis	What analysis of good or best practice in policy making exists?	Materials as named will be sourced for participant review (summaries to be provided).	<p>A series of written pieces from former Senator John Faulkner have been sourced and placed in the Symposium Dropbox. A summary is being prepared and will be available for the Sydney session.</p> <p>Discussions are in train with the John Cain Foundation to ascertain what material they have which would be beneficial for participants. Any materials which are provided will be placed in the Symposium Dropbox and summarised for the Sydney session.</p>

2.	Case studies - hold decision-making process to account, evidence based, eg Obama evidence based policy and Infrastructure Victoria	What insight can be taken from the President Obama's Evidence Based Social Policy Initiatives? What experience can be shared by Infrastructure Victoria from their evidence-based approach?	Materials and input as named will be sourced for participant review (summaries to be provided).	An overview of President Obama's Evidence Based Social Initiative has been placed in the Symposium Dropbox along with a summary version. Infrastructure Victoria has provided content which has been placed in the Symposium Dropbox. A summary is being prepared and will be available for the Sydney session.
3.	A diagnostic approach - could consider the experience of climate change	What insight could be taken from looking at the policy making process relating to climate change (within an Australian context)? Can this be extrapolated to other policy areas?	Material reviewing the development of climate change policy to be sourced for participant review (summary to be provided).	Research is ongoing with relevant materials to be placed in the Symposium Dropbox and summarised for the Sydney session.
4.	Levels of decisions/kinds of decisions – top 10 best decisions of all time: how did they do it? (state and federal, eg Federation)	Opportunity for participants to reflect on decisions they view as best practice and to consider how these were undertaken.	Will be the focus of an activity at the Sydney session.	No interim action
5.	What do we mean by ‘effective long-term decision-making’? (social justice)	How do participants define effective long-term decision-making?	Will be reviewed at the Sydney session as part of further consideration of the success measures and criteria.	No interim action

6.	Dominant themes – ask group for ideas of papers on each	Opportunity for participants to share readings, research materials or other documentation pertinent to the initial ideas developed at the Melbourne session.	Given the extent of other data being provided to participants and which has already been provided in the Briefing Book, no specific follow-up will be undertaken. However, if participants do wish to share materials, please bring materials (along with a short summary) to the Sydney session.	No interim action
7.	What do we mean by citizens' juries? Some context data?	Clarification of what is involved in a citizens' jury and how they are undertaken.	Relevant literature will be sourced for participant review (summaries to be provided).	Relevant content which has been placed in the Symposium Dropbox along with a summary version.
8.	Campaign reform in other countries in relation to donations	How have other jurisdictions made changes to campaign funding rules and regulations? Have these been successful?	A short summary will be sourced for participant review (summaries to be provided).	Relevant content which has been placed in the Symposium Dropbox. A summary is being prepared and will be available for the Sydney session.
9.	Public trust and links to citizens' juries (engagement more broadly) – evidence?	Have citizens' juries shifted trust in decision making? Is there research in relation to specific issues or jurisdictions?	Relevant literature will be sourced for participant review (summaries to be provided).	Relevant content which has been placed in the Symposium Dropbox. A summary is being prepared and will be available for the Sydney session. Further material relevant to Irish experience is also being sourced.

10.	Role of media in this space (building public trust)? Code of ethics? Bodies?	Are there existing codes, organisations or regulatory frameworks that shape the way media's behaviour and outputs impact public trust in government decision-making processes?	Relevant codes, frameworks or documents will be sourced for participant review.	A copy of the MEAA Journalist Code of Ethics has been placed in the Symposium Dropbox. The MEAA also provide a listing of other organisations which provide guidance pertinent to this information point. See: https://www.meaa.org/meaa-media/code-of-ethics/
11.	How transparent process influences public policy – views from current secretaries or deputy secretaries?	What insight can members of the public service provide on how transparency in decision-making impacts on policy?	Institute of Public Administration Australia (relevant industry association) to be asked to provide a short submission for participant review.	IPAA has been contacted and are considering the opportunity to provide input to the Symposium. Supplied content will be placed in the Symposium Dropbox and made available for the Sydney session.
12.	Information on role of public broadcaster. UTS Ethics - Centre for Media Transition (Peter Fray)	What insight can be provided on the role of the ABC and SBS in relation to trust in government decision-making processes?	Centre for Media Transition to be asked to provide a short submission for participant review.	CMT has been contacted and are considering the opportunity to provide input to the Symposium. Supplied content will be placed in the Symposium Dropbox and made available for the Sydney session.

13.	Practitioner perspectives - art and science of doing this (government and engagement)	What insight can be practitioners of engagement processes provide?	IAP2 (relevant industry association) to be asked to provide a short submission for participant review. Other relevant literature will be sourced for participant review (summary to be provided).	IAP2 has been contacted and are considering the opportunity to provide input to the Symposium. Supplied content will be placed in the Symposium Dropbox and made available for the Sydney session. Other relevant content which has been placed in the Symposium Dropbox. A summary is being prepared and will be available for the Sydney session.
14.	Evidence – key stone indicators (international) post-conflict, who is doing the best in shifting the dial eg post-GFC in Ireland and Iceland?	What jurisdictions have successfully improved public trust in government decision-making processes after times of crisis?	Analysis to be sought from the 2017 Edelman Trust Barometer which indicates countries where change over time is measurable.	Edelman has been contacted and are considering the opportunity to provide input to the Symposium. Supplied content will be placed in the Symposium Dropbox and made available for the Sydney session.
15.	Trust indicators	Can consideration of existing research into public trust provide any insight?	Extract of information from 2017 Edelman Trust Barometer will be sourced for participant review (summary to be provided).	See above.